

# CHES

CONSULTING LLC

*Because the right move matters*



# CONTRACTOR PURCHASING SYSTEM REVIEW

## GOVERNMENT CONTRACT REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

Government contract purchasing system requirements vary depending on a contractor's size, types of contracts, and other risk factors. Federal Acquisition Regulation ("FAR") Part 44 – Subcontracting Policies and Procedures, establishes the requirements for review, evaluation, and approval of contractor purchasing systems. For government contractors expected to exceed \$25 million in annual sales within the following 12 months (excluding competitive firm-fixed-price and commercial items contracts), the Administrative Contracting Officer ("ACO") must assess the need for a Contractor Purchasing System Review ("CPSR"). For Department of Defense ("DOD") contractors, the threshold requiring review is \$50 million per DOD Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement ("DFARS") Part 244. The assessment is based on the contractor's past performance and the volume, dollar value, and complexity of its subcontracts. If a contractor's purchasing system has not been reviewed, or has been disapproved, the contractor is required to provide advance notice to the ACO and obtain consent prior to entering into subcontract agreements. Failing a CPSR may result in the contractor being ineligible to bid on future work.

In addition to the assessment requirements defined under FAR Part 44.302(a) and DFARS Part 244.302(a), companies that are CAS covered and contract with the DOD will need to comply with DFARS Part 252.244-7001 – Contractor Purchasing System requirements. This DFARS Part requires contractors to establish and maintain compliance with 24 specific criteria to be deemed as having an acceptable system. On February 24, 2012, the DOD issued the final "Business Systems Rule" that established, through changes to the DFARS, mandatory requirements for six contractor business systems, including the purchasing system. If contractors do not comply with the criteria, the Business System Rule imposes mandatory financial penalties (5% withholding for one system, or up to 10% for two or more systems) for any noncompliance that is deemed a "significant deficiency." A significant deficiency is defined as: "shortcomings in the system that materially affect the ability of officials of the DOD to rely upon information produced by the system that is needed for management purposes."

The Defense Contract Management Agency ("DCMA") and the Defense Contract Audit Agency ("DCAA") share responsibility for audits of the business systems, with the DCMA being responsible for audits of purchasing systems. It is possible that the DCAA may be engaged to assist with these audits depending on various factors, such as availability of resources. To conduct CPSR/DFARS Purchasing System audits, the DCMA primarily uses the CPSR Guidebook (including the 55 criteria audit checklist), DCMA Manual 2301-01 Contractor Business Systems, FAR subpart 44.3, and DFARS Part 244.3.

When contractors are selected for a CPSR, CPSR Team Leads will begin pre-planning activities, including review of previous CPSR reports (if applicable) and any risk assessments completed. Auditors will then review policies and procedures, and contractors will be asked to walk through them to demonstrate how the system complies with purchasing system requirements (including the Guidebook and the 55 criteria). Auditors will typically request that process owners provide demonstrations, so it is important that these personnel are knowledgeable of end-to-end processes. Process owners should also understand relevant DFARS and FAR criteria, and be able to provide documentation (e.g., flowcharts, narratives, file support, etc.) to auditors to sufficiently support their understanding of the processes. Once walk-throughs are complete, testing of the system is performed, during which the auditor will review specific files and supporting documentation for compliance.

In preparation for purchasing system reviews, contractors should consider performing a self-assessment focused on the following areas:

- Policies and procedures;
- Planning and market research;
- Price competition;
- Prevention of conflicts of interest and misconduct;
- Negotiations;
- Cost or price reasonableness;
- Compliance review;
- Source selection;
- Supplier history and performance ratings;
- Flow down of appropriate clauses;
- Cybersecurity protocols
- Procurement administration; and
- Closeout and evaluation



Chess Consulting has developed a project plan and approach tailored to support government contractor compliance with purchasing system requirements. Our approach includes adaptable tools and templates designed to support planning, assessment, remediation, and monitoring of the purchasing system to maximize its efficiency and effectiveness.

Our professionals stay abreast of new developments and trends within the industry to ensure they are given special attention during our engagements. Current industry trends that contractors are experiencing include:

- Missing or incomplete cost or price analysis;
- Missing or incomplete written justifications, and/or failure to properly document the use of sole/single source awards;
- Policies and procedures not addressing regulatory requirements;
- Missing or incomplete evidence/support documented in procurement files;
- Not flowing down appropriate clauses to subcontractors;
- No evidence of current cost or pricing data for subcontracts subject to the Truth in Negotiations Act;
- Inadequate vetting of supplier cybersecurity capabilities and tracking flow down of controlled unclassified information;
- Policies and procedure not addressing counterfeit electronics; and
- Policies and procedures not addressing how to deal with split awards.

# THE CHESS CONSULTING ADVANTAGE

Our professionals have a track record of success assisting clients throughout the life cycle of a government contract including pre-award, post-award, and dispute-related matters. We bring to each engagement a unique combination of government contracting regulatory expertise, technical financial accounting and reporting knowledge, and an understanding of our client's business processes, risks, and challenges. By engaging with Chess Consulting, our clients receive insight into current market trends and industry practices which add value both in the areas of compliance and operations. Professionals within our practice have been assisting with purchasing related matters including regulatory price reasonableness for decades. Client engagements include:

- A publicly traded mid-sized government contractor. The company engaged Chess Consulting to perform a preliminary CPSR to assess if the Purchasing System was ready for the DCMA's review. The contractor previously failed a CPSR and spent the prior year working to address deficiencies. Many of the requirements and areas covered by the DCMA's audit checklist and the DFARS's business systems rule were addressed; however, several key risk areas required remediation including its sole/single source justification and supporting documentation. We worked with the contractor to address the risk areas identified, and it successfully passed the DCMA's next CPSR.
- A large privately owned government contractor. The company engaged Chess Consulting to perform a purchasing system review to identify deficiencies and to develop and communicate to management the recommendations for improvement. The review focused on assessing purchasing manuals/policies and actual practices to verify consistency as well as compliance with FAR/DFARS requirements. We interviewed relevant personnel, walked through processes, and tested and assessed documentation to verify compliance. Deficiencies were identified and recommendations for improvement were communicated to management resulting in a successful CPSR audit.

## Chess Consulting Differentiators:

Deep industry knowledge and technical expertise which helps each client deal effectively with the complexities of the processes and issues facing its business.

Profitability focus concentrating on actions and solutions that create a competitive business advantage while fully complying with regulatory requirements.

Practical and creative solutions that effectively address difficult compliance and business issues.

Supportable positions that have been proven to withstand scrutiny from regulatory agencies such as the SEC, DCAA, DOJ, and GAO.

### SERVICE LINE CONTACTS:

**David Hess**, *Managing Director*  
**David Dishmon**, *Managing Director*

**CHESS**  
CONSULTING LLC

11710 Plaza America Drive, Suite 820, Reston, Virginia 20190  
(703) 796-2850 | [www.ChessConsultingLLC.com](http://www.ChessConsultingLLC.com)

*Contact Chess Consulting.*